

DR. AMBEDKAR COLLEGE, DEEKSHABHOOMI, NAGPUR

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

CRIMINAL LAW CLUB

NAME OF THE PROGRAM: Debate competition : The compulsive use of regional languages in the states is an attack on the Indian Constitution.

DAY: 7TH August 2025

TIME: 11.30 - 4.00

NAME OF CELL : CRIMINAL LAW CLUB

PROGRAM COORDINATOR: PROF. DR. MRS. SANDHYA P. KALAMDHAD

MS.SHAZIA BARI (ASSISTANT PROFESSOR)

MS.RITU KAUSHIK (ASSISTANT PROFESSOR)

MS.KHUSHI BAJAJ (ASSISTANT PROFESSOR)

STUDENTS: 24 (8 teams : 3 students in a team)

REPORT ON

DEBATE COMPETITION: The compulsive use of regional languages in the states is an attack on the Indian Constitution.

A thought-provoking debate competition was organized on 7th August 2025 . The topic for the debate was “The Compulsive Use of Regional Language in the States is an attack on the Indian Constitution” which was held in the premises of Dr. Ambedkar College of Law Deekshabhoomi, Nagpur . The event aimed to encourage critical thinking among students on the balance between linguistic diversity and constitutional rights.


The event began with garlanding the portraits of Parampujya Dr. B.R.Ambedkar and Tathagat Gautam Buddha. The competition saw enthusiastic participation from students of law department , each presenting strong arguments either in support or against the motion. The debate was judged by a panel of experts Ms. Priyanka Khandelwal and Ms. Lekha Thakkar, who assessed the participants based on content, clarity, presentation, and rebuttal skills.

The debate concluded on a powerful note, leaving the audience with a deeper understanding of India's linguistic framework and constitutional responsibilities and was addressed by Principal Dr. Deepa Panhekar by her incredible motivational words.

The winners were :

- Best speaker opposition team : **Nishta Singh**
- Best speaker proposition team: **Ayushi Chaourasia**
- Opposition winning team : **(Team comprising of) : (a) Aman Bhandarkar (b) Nishta Singh (c) Saumya Bhansal**
- Proposition winning team : **(Team comprising of) : (a) Ayushi Chaourasia (b) Victoria Rao (c) Khwaish Bhagwani**
- Best Speakers : **(a) Ankit Chandrakar (b) Abhiram Gurjalwar**

The event successfully met its objective of creating an informed and respectful dialogue on a sensitive constitutional issue. The Vote of Thanks was given by Bhakti Meher to the debaters, judges, winners and all the organizers for making the event a success and encouraged more such intellectual engagements in the future.





GLIMPSES OF THE EVENT



Audience present on the debate competition



Inauguration of the program



Students debating fiercely on the topic.



Vote of thanks given by Bhakti Meher

Attendees on Debate Competition

Attendance sheet (Audience)		
Name	class	sign
Kamlesh Bhambhani	1 st sem (8 th ya)	
Suparna Khatke	4 th sem (8 th ya)	
Vaibhavi M. Kharabande	7 th sem (5 th ya)	
Himanshu Sambare	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Rohini Borkar	7 th sem (5 th ya)	
Pooja Nakade	7 th sem (5 th ya)	
Manali Wankhade	7 th sem (5 th ya)	
Prashika Sonule	8 th sem (5 th ya)	
Aboli Wankhade	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Shreya Karmad	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Tatva Nandawale	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Shruti S. ghos	5 th sem (3 rd year)	
Pragati Santale	5 th sem (3 rd year)	
Shivani Patil	5 th sem (3 rd year)	
Isha Dikude	5 th sem (3 rd year)	
Tarunesh Singh (Babu)	5 th sem (3 rd year)	
Shruti Jagtapkar	7 th sem (5 th ya)	
Sandhya Ilamkar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Pranali Medhankar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Sandhya Shinde	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Dipali Chauhan	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Mani A. Ingde	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Vaibhavi Konde	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Shivangi S. Galitkar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Shashikisan M. Parthake	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Shweta Madan Bansod	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Hareeshwar A. Ghanskar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Pranali G. Borkar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Pratik H. Borkar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Banika N. Dharne	9 th sem (8 th ya)	
Pranika S. Dandekar	9 th sem (8 th ya)	

Nirmal Sonwane	2nd Sem 3 yrs	<u>P.S.</u>
Nagesh Bothe	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Nagesh</u>
Nachiket Lanjewar	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Nachiket</u>
Madhavi Bhatt	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Madhavi</u>
Harshvardhan Ghanekar	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Harshvardhan</u>
Madhuri R. Thote	5th Sem 3 yrs	<u>Madhuri</u>
Ujwal Ganes	—	<u>Ujwal</u>
Aschana Nannawar	5th Sem 3 years	<u>Aschana</u>
Rakesh Godhije	5th Sem 3 yr	<u>Rakesh</u>
Aniket Dhage	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Aniket</u>
Harshita Bhatnagar	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Harshita</u>
Sankha Sura Bhatnagar	3rd Sem 3 yrs	<u>Sankha</u>
Aparna C. Dhawale	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Aparna</u>
Javed Khan	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Javed</u>
Shruti Kumari	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Shruti</u>
Prathiraj Ghongade	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Prathiraj</u>
Niraj Nagpure	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Niraj</u>
Rohan Suruse	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Rohan</u>
Shyam Rathore	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Shyam</u>
Anish Nichakavde	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Anish</u>
Disha N. Ghawale	3rd Sem 3 years	<u>Disha</u>
Tuba Khan	3rd Sem 3 Years	<u>Tuba</u>

Feedback Forms

DR. AMBEDKAR COLLEGE, DEEKSHABHOOMI NAGPUR
DEPARTMENT OF LAW
Feedback Form for Workshop held on 26/07/2025

1. Name of the Student : Sumedha Jaiswal

2. Course & Year: BA LLB 3rd sem

3. How would you rate the overall quality of the workshop?

☒ Excellent
☐ Good
☐ Average
☐ Needs Improvement

4. How relevant was the content to debate preparation?

☒ Very Relevant
☐ Somewhat Relevant
☐ Neutral
☐ Not Relevant

5. Were the resource persons/speakers effective in delivering the content?

☒ Extremely Effective
☐ Moderately Effective
☐ Not Very Effective
☐ Not Effective at All

6. Did the workshop boost your confidence to participate in the debate competition?

☒ Yes, definitely
☐ To some extent
☐ Not really
☐ Not at all

7. Suggestions for improvement
The workshop was very effective.

DR. AMBEDKAR COLLEGE, DEEKSHABHOOMI NAGPUR
DEPARTMENT OF LAW
Feedback Form for Workshop held on 26/07/2025

1. Name of the Student : Dinyashree Tripathi

2. Course & Year: 3rd sem BA LLB 2025

3. How would you rate the overall quality of the workshop?

☒ Excellent
☐ Good
☐ Average
☐ Needs Improvement

4. How relevant was the content to debate preparation?

☒ Very Relevant
☐ Somewhat Relevant
☐ Neutral
☐ Not Relevant

5. Were the resource persons/speakers effective in delivering the content?

☒ Extremely Effective
☐ Moderately Effective
☐ Not Very Effective
☐ Not Effective at All

6. Did the workshop boost your confidence to participate in the debate competition?

☒ Yes, definitely
☐ To some extent
☐ Not really
☐ Not at all

7. Suggestions for improvement
So helpful